GUIDE FOR DESCRIBING MEANINGFUL DIFFERENCES IN OVERALL ACHIEVEMENT TEST RESULTS

In analyzing and describing achievement test results, the following questions often arise:

- How large a difference in scores from one year to the next is needed for it to be considered a meaningful difference or gain?
- How different are our reading scores from our math scores?
- How different is our 3rd grade reading score from our 5th grade reading score?

The "Guide for Describing Meaningful Differences" can help answer such questions. This user-friendly tool is based on a combination of research and its developer's* many years of examining test results in school districts.

This tool offers general guidance on how to describe differences in the **percentages only** of norm-referenced and criterion-referenced achievement results, not in other types of scores such as percentiles and normal curve equivalent scores.

- Example: Describing differences in percentages of students at or above the 50th national percentile of a norm group on a norm-referenced test or the proficiency standard on a criterion-referenced test.
- Purposes: Comparing differences in the following situations:
 - between **grade levels** in a particular subject area (e.g., 7th and 8th grade reading);
 - between subject areas for all grades combined (e.g., reading and mathematics);
 - across years (e.g., 2005 to 2006 for all grades combined in reading).

Guide for Describing Meaningful Differences

Descriptive Difference	Total Number of Students Being Compared			
	50	100	200	500+
	Percentage Points Difference			
None	0-12	0-8	0-5	0-3
Small	13-15	9-11	6-7	4-5
Moderate	16-19	12-14	8-10	6-8
Fairly Large	20-25	15-17	11-13	9-10
Large	26-29	18-24	14-19	11-15
Very Large	30+	25+	20+	16+

^{*} The "Guide for Describing Meaningful Differences" was developed by John Carr, WestEd, and is described in Carr, J., & Artman, E. (2002). *The bottom-up simple approach to school accountability* (pp. 253-256). Norwood, MA: Christopher Gordon Publishers. Copyright 2002 by Christopher Gordon Publishers. Used with permission.



How to Use the Guide

- 1. Determine the "Total Number of Students Being Compared."
 - When comparing different groups of students, add the number of students in the groups.
 - When comparing the same group of students across years (as in a cohort analysis), use the group of lesser size, not the sum of both groups.
- 2. Calculate the difference in the percentages of students for both groups.
- 3. Locate the difference in the percentages of the two groups by using the appropriate "Total Number of Students Being Compared" column.
- 4. Look at the left-most column to identify the adjective that describes the difference (e.g., none, small, large).

Example 1 (norm-referenced test results)

In 2005, 48% of the 120 fifth graders scored at/above the 50th national percentile in math.

In 2006, 54% of the 100 fifth graders scored at/above the 50th national percentile in math.

- Total Number of Students Being Compared = 220
- Difference in the percentages scoring at/above the 50th national percentile
 = 6 percentage points
- Descriptive Difference = Small

Example 2 (criterion-referenced test results)

In 2004, 48% of the 120 seventh graders met and exceeded the proficiency standard in reading. In 2005, 54% of the 100 eighth graders met the proficiency standard in reading.

- Total Number of Students Being Compared = 100
- Difference in the percentages of students who met the proficiency standard
 = 6 percentage points
- Descriptive Difference = None

Cautions and Considerations

- **Mobility:** If your mobility rate is 25% or more, then consider using both methods described in step 1 to determine meaningful difference. See step 1 above under How to Use the Guide.
- Current Year to Baseline Year: You may want to compare the current year percentages with that of the baseline year (e.g., 2006 to 2004 third grade reading).

Moderate to Very Large Differences: Increases or decreases in achievement this large should be investigated to determine the reasons for such differences.

